



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET'NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/799,073	02/11/1997	MARK E. DAVIS	ST996505	3288
22462 75	590 11/18/2002			
GATES & COOPER LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050			EXAMINER	
			VU, THONG H	
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90045		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2142	20
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

المداد ال	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	08/799,073	DAVIS ET AL.	
Autroory Modell	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thong H Vu	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 07 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a tion in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	> .
$3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because sed by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-8 and 28</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,10-2123-27,29-32</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	\	
10. Other:			
Me.	SUPERVIS	ORY PATENT EXAMAL OLOGY CENTER 2	

Application/Control Number: 08/799,073

Art Unit: 2142

As per claims 1,10-21,23-27,29-32

(a) applicant argues the prior art does not teach the different protocols as different protocol versions.

Examiner notes the prior art taught the different adaptive streams which is obvious each stream represents to a different version [Kalra col 5 lines 24-55] or different resolution stream [Kalra col 26 line 49-col 27 line 14].

(b) applicant argues the prior art does not teach the beginning and end tags.

Examiner notes the prior art taught a single video adaptive with header information,

begin (i.e.: start code) and end signals [Kalra col 17 lines 55-col 19 lines 22].

Thus, the rejection is sustained.

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SCHNOLOGY CENTER 2100